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Introduction

Diabetic retinopathy is the disorder of microvascular in the retina. So it is the leading cause of blindness (3,4). A correlation exists between diabetic retinopathy and increased risk of life threatening vascular complications like coronary artery disease. (1) The stages of diabetic retinopathy categorized as; non-proliferative diabetic retinopathy to proliferative diabetic retinopathy. The signs of non-proliferative in retina are dot blot hemorrhages, microaneurysms, hard exudates and cotton wool spots. The proliferative
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diabetic retinopathy is retinal neovascularization. (3,5)
The aqueous flow is formed by ciliary body and exists from the eye through trabecular meshwork and uveoscleral outflow pathways. So the balance between them, cause the intraocular pressure (IOP) (7). Keeping the IOP within the normal range is necessary for health of the eye. It is hypothesized that in the presence of diabetic retinopathy, we will have decreased aqueous flow which could affect the IOP. (8)
Thus the purpose of this study was to determine a significant correlation between IOP and the steps of diabetic retinopathy.

Materials and Methods
We carried out an analytic cross sectional study from March 2015 through August 2016 in the experienced ophthalmologist’s clinic in Yazd, Iran.
At the initial examination, all patients were interviewed regarding their medical history. The exclusion criteria were; patients who were younger 40 years old, had ocular inflammatory disease, fundus disease except for diabetic retinopathy, corneal disease, uveitis, glaucoma, any intraocular surgery and those who were receiving IOP lowering treatments.
The patients underwent a slit-lamp examination and a dilated fundus examination for retinopathy grading. The stage of diabetic retinopathy was determined by ophthalmoscopy and fluorescein angiography and the findings were classified as no apparent diabetic retinopathy, mild to moderate nonproliferative diabetic retinopathy, severe nonproliferative diabetic retinopathy and proliferative diabetic retinopathy. The patients with proliferative diabetic retinopathy underwent gonioscopy to exclude neovascular glaucoma. The IOP was measured by Goldmann applanation tonometry. All exams were done by the experienced ophthalmologist.
Data were entered into and analyzed using SPSS V.20. Statistically significant values were derived using independent T-test. The P-value less than 0.05 was accepted as significant.

Results
Totally 413 patients were studied. About 46.2% (N=191) were males and 53.8% (N=222) were females. Their ages ranged from 40 to 70 years. All of them were diagnosed with type 2 diabetes and 329 patients (79.7%) had diabetic retinopathy.
The mean (±SD) IOP was 15.52 ± 3.37 mmHg in the diabetic retinopathy group and 15.27 ± 3.24 mmHg in the non-diabetic retinopathy group. So there was not a significant correlation between IOP and suffering from diabetic retinopathy. (P-value=0.53).
Also in the males, the mean IOP was 15.56 ± 3.24 mmHg in the diabetic retinopathy group (N=152), and 15.33 ± 3.09 mmHg in the non-diabetic retinopathy group (N=39), (P-value=0.68). In the females, the mean IOP was 15.49 ± 3.49 mmHg in the diabetic retinopathy group (N=177), and 15.22 ± 3.39 mmHg in the non-diabetic retinopathy group. (N=45), (P-value=0.64, T-test). Also there was not a significant correlation between IOP and suffering from diabetic retinopathy in the gender.
Then, we carried out the comparison of IOP in both the subjects and gender according to the grades of retinopathy. In the subjects with mild nonproliferative diabetic retinopathy, the mean IOP was 15.31 ± 2.65 mmHg, in the males was 15.04 ± 2.64 mmHg and in the females was 15.6 ± 2.67 mmHg. (Tables 1-2)

Discussion
The purpose of this study was to determine whether a significant correlation exists between IOP and diabetic retinopathy grades. We didn’t find a significant correlation between them in either the males and females, it is to be noted that, in males, however the P-value became significant (P=0.002) in association between the IOP and the retinopathy grades.
Neetens A, et al. reported, when the diabetic retinopathy progresses towards the stage of proliferative, IOP decreases (12). This finding, disagrees with our study, otherwise we didn’t find any association between IOP and diabetic retinopathy grades.

The patients with IOP<15 mmHg, have showed greater percentage of retinopathy, reported by Jain Is, et al. Also they found when the IOP increased the diabetic retinopathy frequency decrease. In their study, there were not any diabetic retinopathy with IOP>23 mmHg (11). But our findings are disagreement with these reports moreover in our study some diabetic retinopathy, have IOP>23 mmHg.

Lane JT. et al, studied a total of 20 participants with type 1 diabetes. They found that aqueous flow is slowed even further in patients with microvascular complications like diabetic retinopathy (8). So these findings are disagreement with our findings. In addition, this study was small and included type 1 diabetes patients but our study had greater statistical society and included type 2 diabetes patients.

Matsuoka M, et al found that there was not a significant difference between the IOP and the diabetic retinopathy grades (2) and our findings agree with this study.

Our study has some limitations, first the absence of type 1 diabetes subjects that suffer from retinopathy, including them may help us another relationship between IOP and the grades of retinopathy.

Also we only investigated the Iranian population. So the data from different populations can give us the different statistical results.

Conclusions
Our findings showed that there was not a significant relationship between the IOP and diabetic retinopathy moreover we found that IOP was not significantly associated with diabetic retinopathy.

Table 1. The mean IOP according to steps of diabetic retinopathy in the subjects

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Steps</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>Mean±Std Deviation</th>
<th>P-Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mild</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>15.31±2.65</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>16.30±3.94</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Severe</td>
<td>101</td>
<td>15.01±3.07</td>
<td>0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proliferative</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>15.60±3.73</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. The mean IOP according to steps of diabetic retinopathy in male and female

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Male Step</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>Mean±Std Deviation</th>
<th>P-Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mild</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>15.04±2.64</td>
<td>0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>17.12±3.76</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Severe</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>14.68±3.06</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proliferative</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>15.60±2.68</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female Steps</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mild</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>15.6±2.67</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>15.48±3.99</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Severe</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>15.32±3.09</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proliferative</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>15.60±4.16</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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