The Impact of Resistance and Aerobic Training on the Insulin Synthesis Genes Expression in Diabetic Rats

Mohammad Hossein Ghofrani¹, Alireza Rahimi²*, Eidi Alijani³, Foad Feizolahi⁴

¹PHD Candidate of Exercise Physiology, Department of Physical Education and Sport Sciences Karaj Branch, Islamic Azad University, Karaj, Iran.

²Associate professor of Exercise Physiology, Department of Physical Education and Sport Sciences, Karaj Branch, Islamic Azad University, Karaj, Iran.

³Full professor of Exercise Physiology, Department of Physical Education and Sport Sciences, Karaj Branch, Islamic Azad University, Karaj, Iran.

⁴Assistant professor of Exercise physiology, Department of Physical Education and sport Science, Karaj Branch, Islamic Azad University, Karaj. Iran.

Abstract

Objective: In the last two decades, genetic studies have strongly supported the effective role of genetic factors on the synthesis of insulin from the pancreas. The purpose of this research is to determine the effect of aerobic and resistance exercises on the expression of NeruoD1 and PDX1 in pancreatic tissue, as well as serum insulin and glucose levels in type 2 diabetic rats.

Materials and Methods: 21 male wistar rats $(220\pm10 \text{ g})$ were included. Then diabetic rats were randomly assigned into 3 groups: 1) control (no-training), 2) resistance training and 3) aerobic training. Exercise training lasted 10 weeks and 5 times weekly for training groups. After intervention, NeruoD1 and PDX1 expression in pancreas, insulin and glucose were compared between groups. Data compared by one way ANOVA and Tukey's post hoc test between groups (P < 0.05).

Results: Compared to control rats, resistance and aerobic training led to significant increase in serum insulin (P= 0.001, P= 0.013 respectively), PDX1 expression (P= 0.001, P= 0.001 respectively) and decrease glucose (P: 0.001, P: 0.001 respectively). Significant difference was not observed between control and aerobic groups with regard to NeruoD1 expression (P= 0.077). In addition, NeruoD1 expression in resistance group was significantly higher than aerobic and control groups (P= 0.018, P= 0.001, respectively).

Conclusion: Despite the improvement of insulin and glucose in response to both aerobic and resistance training, it seems that resistance training affects genes affecting insulin synthesis and transcription more than aerobic training.

Keywords: Insulin synthesis, Aerobic and resistance training, Type 2 diabetes, Gene's expression

OR Code



Citation: Ghofrani M H, Rahimi A, Alijani E, Feizolahi F. The Impact of Resistance and Aerobic Training on the Insulin Synthesis Genes Expression in Diabetic Rats. IJDO 2023; 15 (3):190-193

URL: https://ijdo.ssu.ac.ir/article-1-820-en.html



10.18502/ijdo.v15i3.13740

Article info:

Received: 01 May 2023

Accepted: 05 August 2023

Published in September 2023

This is an area against artistic and area against a september 2023

This is an open access article under the (CC BY 4.0)

Corresponding Author:

Alireza Rahimi, Department of Physical Education and Sport Sciences Karaj Branch, Islamic Azad University, Karaj, Iran.

Tel: (98) 912 310 4965 **Email:** arrahimi@kiau.ac

Orcid ID: 0000-0002-9971-7380

Introduction

egarding the influence of exercise on the transcription factors effective on insulin synthesis (1-7), in the study of Eizadi et al (2016) reported a decrease in pancreatic TCF7L2 expression in response to resistance training (8) and Ramazany et al (2017) found an increase in pancreatic GLP-1R expression following 3 months of aerobic training in type 2 diabetic rats (9).

However, studies evaluating the impact of exercise training on the expression of PDX1 and NeuroD1 in diabetic rats are not available (8-12). Therefore, the present research aimed to evaluate the effect of resistance and aerobic training on the expression of PDX1 and NeuroD1 in pancreatic tissue, as well as fasting glucose and insulin in Type 2 Diabetic (T2D) rats.

Materials and Methods

Twenty one 10 weeks old rats were prepare from the animal house of Pasteur Institute of Tehran, Iran. Then, after induction of T2D, they were randomly divided into 3 groups: 1) control, 2) aerobic training and 3) resistance training.

T2D induced by an intraperitoneal (i.p.) injection of 60 mg/ kg streptozotocin, 15 min after injection of 95 mg/kg of nicotinamide (13).

The aerobic group participated in aerobic training in the form of running on the treadmill (6 weeks, 5 sessions/ weekly) according to Table 1 (14).

The resistance group participates in a resistance training program in the form of 3 set with 6 repetitions in each set (10 weeks, 5 sessions/ weekly). The rest time between sets is 3 minutes and the rest time between repetitions in each period are 45 seconds (15) (Table 2).

All rats were anesthetized and dissected 48 hours after the last training session (overnight fast) intraperitoneal injection of 10% ketamine along with 2% xylosine (50 mg/kg and 10 mg/kg respectively), then they were dissected (13). Blood samples were derived through cardiac puncture. Then, pancreas tissue was removed, and immersed in RNA later to determine PDX1 and NeruoD1 expression. Insulin and glucose were assessed by ELISA (Demeditec, Germany) and glucose oxidase method (Pars Azmoonf kit, Tehran).

For purify RNA, 20 milli-grams of tissue were ground using a mortar and pestle, and extraction was then performed employing the RNeasy Protect Mini Kit (Qiagen, Germany) according to protocol (16). RNA Polymerase I I was used as a control gene (Table 3)

Table 1. Training protocol based on time and speed in the aerobic group

| Exercise session (Week) | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 |
|-------------------------|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|
| Running time (min) | 16 | 18 | 20 | 20 | 22 | 22 | 24 | 24 | 26 | 26 |
| Running speed (m/min) | 15 | 20 | 30 | 30 | 40 | 40 | 45 | 45 | 50 | 50 |

Table 2. Resistance training protocol based on body weight percentage

| Exercise session (Week) | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
|----------------------------|----|----|----|----|-----|
| Resistance (Body weight %) | 20 | 40 | 60 | 80 | 100 |
| | | | | | |

Table 3. Primer sequence

| Genes | Primer sequence | | | | |
|--------------------|------------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| PDX1 | For: ATACGCAGCAGAACCGGAG | | | | |
| | Rev: ACTTCATGCGACGGTTTTGGA | | | | |
| NeruoD1 | For: AAGATAGAGACACTGCGCTTGG | | | | |
| | Rev: ATTGGTAGTGGGCTGGGACA | | | | |
| RNA Polymerase I I | For: ACTTTGATGACGTGGAGGAGGAC | | | | |
| | Rev: GTTGGCCTGCGGTCGTTC | | | | |

Statistical analysis

Data analyzed were performaed by the Statistical Package for Social Sciences (SPSS, version 22.0). One way ANOVA and Tukey's post hoc test used to compare the variables between groups.

Ethical considerations

This study approved by Ethics in Research of Islamic Azad University of Karaj Branch, Alborz, Iran (Ethic Code: IR.IAU.K.REC. 1401.111) and carried out in accordance with CPCSEA guidelines.

Results

Biochemical markers of 3 groups are showed in Table 4. There are a significant difference in serum insulin (P=0.001) as well as glucose (P=0.001) between the study groups. Based on the findings of Tukey's test, both aerobic and resistance training led to a significant increase in insulin (P= 0.013, P= 0.001 significant decrease in respectively) and (P=0.001respectively) fasting glucose compared to the control group. However, there was no significant difference in serum insulin (P=0.480) and glucose (P=0.552) between aerobic and resistance groups.

Determining the effect of aerobic and resistance training on NeruoD1 and PDX1 expression in pancreas tissue is main aims of this research. There is a significant difference (P= 0.001) in PDX1 expression in pancreatic tissue between the study groups. On the other hand, based on the findings of Tukey's post hoc test, both aerobic and resistance training

led to significant increase in PDX1 expression compared to the control group (P= 0.001). However, there was no significant difference in PDX1 expression between aerobic and resistance groups (P= 0.585).

There is a significant difference (P= 0.001) in NeruoD1 expression in pancreatic tissue between the study groups. Based on the findings of Tukey test, resistance training leads to a significant increase in NeruoD1 expression compared to the control group (P= 0.001), but aerobic training does not change NeruoD1 expression compared to control group (P= 0.077). Also, resistance training leads to a significant increase in NeuroD1 expression compared to the aerobic group (P= 0.018) (Table 5).

Conclusions

Aerobic and resistance exercises associated with improvement of serum insulin and fasting glucose in T2D rats. Considering the impact role of transcription PDX1 NeruoD1 and in the insulin transcription and synthesis, this improvement may be attributed to the changes in the relative expression of these transcription factors in response to the mentioned training methods. However, more researches are needed to understand the main mechanisms responsible for these changes.

Acknowledgments

The authors are grateful for the support of Karaj Azad University.

Table 4. Mean and standard deviation of diabetes determinants of studied groups

| Group | Control Mean (±SD) | Aerobic Mean (±SD) | Resistance Mean (±SD) | <i>p</i> * |
|------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------|------------|
| Glucose (mg/dL) | 293 (± 11) | 218 (± 14) | 210 (± 11) | 0.001 |
| Insulin (µIU/ml) | $4.52 (\pm 0.64)$ | $5.72 (\pm 0.31)$ | $6.15 (\pm 0.84)$ | 0.001 |

Analysis of variance (ANOVA)

Table 5. Pattern of changes in gene expression in response to aerobic and resistance training

| Group | Control | Aerobic Mean (±SD) | Resistance Mean (±SD) | <i>p</i> * |
|-----------------------------|---------|-----------------------|--------------------------|------------|
| PDX1 relative expression | 1 | 1.55 (± 0.11) | $1.50(\pm 0.10)$ | 0.001 |
| NeruoD1 relative expression | 1 | $1.17 (\pm 0.13)$ | $1.38 (\pm 0.16)$ | 0.001 |

Analysis of variance (ANOVA)

Funding

This research was funded by Islamic Azad University, Karaj Branch, Iran.

Conflict of Interest

The authors declared no conflict of interest.

References

- 1. Adeghate E, Schattner P, Dunn E. An update on the etiology and epidemiology of diabetes mellitus. Annals of the New York academy of sciences. 2006;1084(1):1-29.
- 2. Ruchat SM, Rankinen T, Weisnagel SJ, Rice T, Rao DC, Bergman RN, Bouchard C, Perusse L. Improvements in glucose homeostasis in response to regular exercise are influenced by the PPARG Pro12Ala variant: results from the Heritage Family Study. Diabetologia. 2010;53(4):679-89.
- 3. Fu Z, R Gilbert E, Liu D. Regulation of insulin synthesis and secretion and pancreatic Beta-cell dysfunction in diabetes. Current diabetes reviews. 2013;9(1):25-53.
- Grant SF, Thorleifsson G, Reynisdottir I, Benediktsson R, Manolescu A, Sainz J, et al. Variant of transcription factor 7-like 2 (TCF7L2) gene confers risk of type 2 diabetes. Nature genetics. 2006;38(3):320-3.
- 5. Kaneto H, Matsuoka TA. Role of pancreatic transcription factors in maintenance of mature β -cell function. International journal of molecular sciences. 2015;16(3):6281-97.
- Matsuoka TA, Kaneto H, Kawashima S, Miyatsuka T, Tochino Y, Yoshikawa A, et al. Preserving Mafa expression in diabetic islet β-cells improves glycemic control in vivo. Journal of Biological Chemistry. 2015;290(12):7647-57.
- 7. Kaneto H. Pancreatic β-cell glucose toxicity in type 2 diabetes mellitus. Current Diabetes Reviews. 2015;11(1):2-6.
- Eizadi M, Ravasi AA, Soory R, Baesi K, Choobineh S. The effect of three months of resistance training on TCF7L2 expression in pancreas tissues of type 2 diabetic rats. Avicenna Journal of Medical Biochemistry. 2016;4(1):12-34014
- Ramazani Rad M, Hajirasouli M, Eizadi M. The effect of 12 weeks of aerobic training on GLP-1 receptor expression in pancreatic tissue and glycemic control in type 2 diabetic rats. Qom

- University of Medical Sciences Journal. 2017;11(6):36-45.(in Persian)
- Zhang C, Moriguchi T, Kajihara M, Esaki R, Harada A, Shimohata H, et al. MafA is a key regulator of glucose-stimulated insulin secretion. Molecular and cellular biology. 2005;25(12):4969-76.
- 11. Brelje TC, Stout LE, Bhagroo NV, Sorenson RL. Distinctive roles for prolactin and growth hormone in the activation of signal transducer and activator of transcription 5 in pancreatic islets of langerhans. Endocrinology. 2004;145(9):4162-75.
- 12. Nishimura W, Yao I, Iida J, Tanaka N, Hata Y. Interaction of synaptic scaffolding molecule and β -catenin. Journal of Neuroscience. 2002;22(3):757-65
- 13. Eizadi M, Soory R, Ravasi A, Baesy K, Choobineh S. Relationship between TCF7L2 relative expression in pancreas tissue with changes in insulin by high intensity interval training (HIIT) in type 2 diabetes rats. Journal of Shahid Sadoughi University of Medical Sciences .2017;24(12):981-93. (in Persian)
- 14. Ghahramani M, Banaei Far, Arshadi, Sohaily. Effect Of Aerobic Training On Expression Of Pgc-1α & Pepck Genes In Hepatocyte Of Streptozotocin-Induced Diabetic Male Rats. Studies In Medical Sciences 2019; 30 (10):791-802.(in Persian)
- 15. Simões e Silva LL, Santos de Sousa Fernandes M, Kubrusly MS, Muller CR, Américo AL, Stefano JT, et al. Effects of aerobic exercise protocol on genes related to insulin resistance an inflammation in the pancreas of Ob/Ob mice with NAFLD. Clinical and Experimental Gastroenterology. 2020;13:223-34.
- 16. Yazdanpazhooh S, Banaeifar A, Arshadi S, Eizadi M. Six weeks resistance training effect on FTO expression in type II diabetes rats. Iranian Journal of Diabetes and Obesity. 2018;10(4):216-2.